ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES - 1 (Case No. 2:19-cv-00258-RMP)

Jackson Lewis P.C. 520 Pike Street, Suite 2300 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 405-0404

	2.	Defendant does not contest venue in the United States District Court
	for the East	ern District of Washington.
		<u>PARTIES</u>
	3.	Admit.
	4.	Admit.
	5.	Defendant admits that it has been an employer engaged in an industry
	affecting co	ommerce at all relevant times. Defendant denies the remaining express
	and implied	allegations of this paragraph.
		ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES
	6.	Admit.
	7.	Admit that a determination letter was issued. Deny that Title VII was
		violated and that there was a need to eliminate "discriminatory
		practices" or provide relief to Charging Party, Katherine Hall.
	8.	Admit that there were some communications. Deny that Defendant
		committed any discriminatory practice as alleged.
	9.	Admit.
	10.	Admit.
	11.	Admit.
		STATEMENT OF CLAIMS
	12.	Denied.
1	LANGWED AND	A A FEIDMATIVE DEFENSES 2 Jackson Lewis P.C.

1 | 13. Admit.

- 14. Admit, upon information and belief.
- 15. Admit that on or about June 30, 2017, Hall provided notice of medical restrictions while working as a Paramedic and Field Training Officer that would not allow her to perform the essential functions of her assigned position. Defendant admits that Hall provided a note from her medical provider and stated her desire to train for other positions. Defendant denies these positions were a reasonable accommodation of her pregnancy-related medical restrictions, under the circumstances.
- 16. Admit that Defendant was not able to grant Hall's requests for temporary alternative positions, and that as an alternative accommodation, Defendant offered Hall a job-protected leave of absence. Defendant denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph.
 - 17. Defendant denies for want of knowledge.
- 18. Admit that on or about June 30, 2017, Hall provided an additional, revised medical restriction, this time limiting Hall's performance of duty to 12-hour shifts.
- 19. Admit that Hall's modified requests still did not allow her to perform the essential functions of her assigned position, based in part on concerns raised by late calls or extrications in her position as a Paramedic who responds to 9-1-1

1	emergency calls and provides prehospital care to members of the public. Denied
2	as to the remaining allegations of this paragraph.
3	20. Admit that Defendant had and has policies or practices specifically for
4	certain employees with restrictions caused by workplace injuries or workplace
5	illnesses. Denied as to the remaining allegations, including to the extent the claim
6	states that Defendant's policies and practices continue today as they existed in
7	2017.
8	21. Denied.
9	22. Denied.
10	23. Denied.
11	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
12	This Defendant denies that Hall or the EEOC are entitled to any relief
13	whatsoever by way of the Complaint.
14	GENERAL DENIAL
15	Any allegations or statements in the Complaint, including Plaintiff's Prayer
16	for Relief, not expressly admitted are denied.
17	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
18	By way of further answer and without waiving any allegations previously
19	denied, the following affirmative defenses are asserted.
20	
21	

- 1. Hall's damages, if any, were proximately caused by or contributed to by acts, omissions, and/or other legal duties of Hall's.
- 2. Pending further discovery, Hall may have failed to properly mitigate her alleged damages, if any.
- 3. Defendant acted reasonably, in good faith, and with reasonable grounds for believing that its conduct complied with the law.
- 4. Without modifying Defendant's answers to the allegations in the Complaint, if a trier of fact were to conclude that any protected status or activity motivated, even in part, any employment decision challenged by Plaintiff, which Defendant expressly denies, Defendant affirmatively states that the same decision(s) would have been made without consideration of any protected status or activity.
- 5. To the extent that punitive damages are claimed, Defendant had an express policy forbidding discrimination based upon gender and disability and a formal complaint procedure. Defendant relied in good faith on the majority and concurring opinions in *Young v. UPS*, 135 S.Ct. 1338, 1349-50; 1360-61 (2015), in which the United States Supreme Court expressly rejected the interpretation of the Pregnancy Discrimination Act and the Americans with Disabilities Act here proposed by the Commission, which defeats Plaintiff's claim for punitive damages

1	and demonstrates Defendant's good faith in following lawful practices with
2	regarding to its transitional work assignment program.
3	6. Defendant's practices at times material to this case limited its
4	transitional work assignment program to workers with job-related injuries or
5	illnesses and where required by state law.
6	7. Pending further discovery, Plaintiff's claims may be subject to the
7	defenses set forth in F.R.C.P. 12(b).
8	8. Plaintiff's claims should be strictly limited to the scope of
9	discrimination charged, for failure to exhaust administrative remedies with respect
10	to any theory of discrimination other than sex discrimination by a pregnancy
11	discrimination theory.
12	RESERVATION OF RIGHTS
13	In further answer, Defendant reserves the right to amend and add additional
14	defenses and make further claims as may be warranted by discovery and motion
15	practice in this case.
16	DATED this 13 th day of September, 2019.
17	JACKSON LEWIS P.C.
18	
19	By: <u>s/ Barry Alan Johnsrud</u> Barry Alan Johnsrud, WSBA #21952
20	520 Pike Street, Suite 2300 Seattle, WA 98101
21	206-405-0404

ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES - 6 (Case No. 2:19-cv-00258-RMP)

Jackson Lewis P.C. 520 Pike Street, Suite 2300 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 405-0404

1	Barry.Johnsrud@jacksonlewis.com
2	Attorney for Defendant
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
	ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES - 7 (Case No. 2:19-cy-00258-RMP) Jackson Lewis P.C. 520 Pike Street, Suite 2300

Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 405-0404

(Case No. 2:19-cv-00258-RMP)

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

2	The undersigned declares under penalty of perjury under the laws of the
3	United States of America that on this day, I electronically filed a true and accurate
4	copy of the document to which this declaration is affixed with the Clerk of the
5	Court using the CM/ECF System, which will send notification of such filing to the
6	following:
7	Roberta L. Steele
8	U.S. Equal Opportunity Commission San Francisco District Office
9	450 Golden Gate Ave, 5 th Floor West P.O. Box 36025
10	San Francisco, CA 94102 415-522-3011
11	Roberta.steele@eeoc.gov
12	Attorney for Plaintiff
13	John F. Stanley May Che
14	U.S. Equal Opportunity Commission Seattle Field Office
15	909 First Avenue, Suite 400 Seattle, WA 98104
16	206-220-6919 John.stanley@eeoc.gov
17	May.che@eeoc.gov
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff
19	DATED this 13 th day of September, 2019.
20	s/ Heather H. Adams Heather H. Adams
21	4822-4889-6163, v. 4

ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES - 8 (Case No. 2:19-cv-00258-RMP)

Jackson Lewis P.C. 520 Pike Street, Suite 2300 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 405-0404